

Committee: _____ Conservation Commission _____

Date: _____ July 21, 2016 _____

Time: _____ 7:00pm _____

Location: _____ 3rd Floor Town Hall _____

Members & Staff present: __Nick Feitz, Rae Ann Baldwin, Carl Shreder, Rachel Bancroft, Andrew Currie, Susan Flint-Vincent & Steve Przyjemski

Members not present: _____ Laura Repplier _____

The meeting was called to order at: _____ 7:04pm _____

Conservation Commission Meeting

July 21, 2016

Meeting opens at 7:04 pm.

Chaplin Hill Road, Brook Street and Central Street (GCC 2015-13; DEP# 161-0811) ANRAD - (cont.)

Nick Feitz: makes a motion to continue to 8/18/16 @ 7:00pm

Rachel Bancroft: Seconds the motion.

Motion carries unanimously.

7 West Street (GCC 2016-10; DEP#161-0823) - NOI - (cont.)

Joseph and Vicki Halter, 7 West Street

Carl Shreder: Since the last meeting we did a site walk.

Joseph Halter: I staked it out, moving it in 8'. So we have two proposals, the original and the new one, moving it in 8' and moving the deck to the front. Also a provision for a wooden or block curbing around the outside edge of the pool.

Rachel Bancroft: Similar to a drip edge?

Vicki Halter: Yes.

Joseph Halter: Also putting in a wood curbing to hold the gravel in.

Rachel Bancroft: How wide is the edge?

Joseph Halter: 2' wide, it's the edge of the pool.

Rachel Bancroft: How sturdy?

Vicki Halter: The pools are guaranteed for 25 years.

Nick Feitz: You said the intermittent stream has been dry for the past couple of years, and the only flow you see is when the Country Club plows heavy snow or heavy rainfall, but basically you're not seeing any water. It essentially drains down into the Parker Water shed eventually.

Carl Shreder: The discussion was about how valuable the intermittent stream was, in other words did it grow downstream?

Steve Przyjemski: I can only speak to what it turns into when it goes across 47 West Street, thru Tidd's.

Carl Shreder: It goes through Con Com land.

Steve Przyjemski: It goes through Water Department land, even down there it doesn't really open up. The intermittent stream is on the GPS, it's jurisdictional, but it dries up this time of year, and in the spring is "high flow", that's what intermittent streams do. What is the revision date on your plan?

Joseph Halter: 7/12/16

Steve Przyjemski: Structure is considered impervious, and should be outside the 75' buffer.

Drew Currie: The slope of the stream is pretty steep too, so it's running quick and drying up.

Steve Przyjemski: That's probably why it's so narrow it doesn't have a chance to broaden out because it's so steep.

Carl Shreder: There are not a lot of other options for pool, unless you want to put it in the front.

Vicki Halter: You can't because of the septic.

Steve Przyjemski: They did move it per the Commission's request at a previous meeting. We asked for some revisions which they did do between 8 and 10, closer to the 8.

Rachel Bancroft: What about a mitigation plan for absorption?

Steve Przyjemski: For a previous EO that was closed out last year, they did some plantings for that.

Rachel Bancroft: That was kept up beautifully in its natural state.

Drew Currie: Do you know what elevation the pool will be at?

Joseph Halter: There's a little slope there, we're going to cut in as minimum as possible.

Steve Przyjemski: Small cut and fill for the pool.

Joseph Halter: The pool is sliding in towards the deck.

Drew Currie: Is the garage the same level as the first floor?

Joseph Halter: No, it's ground level. Level with the driveway. The first floor is standard, about 10' higher than the garage. There's an existing 6" step-down to the 12' x 20' deck. Maybe 2 steps down to the pool. The new distance will be ~ 59' - 60' to the intermittent stream.

Steve Przyjemski: Where before it was 50'.

Carl Shreder: Given the constraints of the lot, (septic and hill) there's really not many more places you can put it or push it.

Nick Feitz: I feel fairly comfortable with this. An above ground pool is less intrusive to a wetland environment than an in-ground pool.

Steve Przyjemski: To put in more plantings to buffer the wetlands would protect the BVW from pool failure.

Joseph Halter: There are different kinds of chlorine, supposedly some are more environmental friendly. We could look into those too.

Bob Watts, 9 West Street: I live downhill. I think it's a good project. They know what their liability is and what their risk is. As water flows downhill, I would have a concern if the pool sprung a leak and ruined my back yard. I believe there's a spring behind my house that works in late winter, early spring. Behind my house it's mucky right now. A big spring rain, we'll have a lot of water.

Drew Currie: 1500-1600 cu.ft. of water will be in the pool.

Joseph Garozzo, 34 West St: I think what the Halters are doing is an excellent project. I don't have an issue with it, and they are responsible people. I fully approve of what they are doing.

Drew Currie: Assuming the project is approved, before the project is started, get a good sense of how much cutting and how much filling, it wouldn't take much to start filling within the 75' buffer. It looks like it's about a 4' difference between the first floor elevation and where the top of the water would be.

Steve Przyjemski: The Building Dept. will require a more detailed drawing regarding cuts and fills. I can see what you mean if we cut 5' we will be chasing the grade out into the buffer. It would be better to do a shallower cut, 2'-3'. I'll keep an eye on it.

Carl Shreder: I would like to see some additional plantings along that already existing mitigation line, to absorb some water and flesh that area out more. I don't think there's much more we can do with this project, you either do it or you don't.

Steve Przyjemski: When you do some planting, we could plant a berm around the project about the 50' line.

Carl Shreder: You just want an additional strip around the edge, to prevent flow into the intermittent stream.

Steve Przyjemski: Just to clarify, that change would be a condition?

Carl Shreder: Yes, I would look upon it as a condition.

Nick Feitz: I make a motion that we accept the NOI for **7 West Street (GCC 2016-10; DEP#161-0823) – NOI** with the following conditions:

1. The applicant doesn't drain the pool toward the resource area,
2. They work with the agent in the field in terms of the plantings around the intermittent stream.
3. Put up no-cut boundaries placed protecting the resource area.
4. Not accepting the wetland line.

Rae Ann Baldwin: Seconds the motion.

Motion passes unanimously to accept the NOI for 7 West Street.

Rae Ann Baldwin: makes a motion to close 7 West Street

Nick Feitz: Seconds the motion.

Motion carries unanimously.

66 Parish Road (GCC 2016-09; DEP#161-0825) ANRAD

Steve Przyjemski: 3rd party review being done right now. Gillian identified some spots that in her opinion were not correct, they are picking those flags up now and putting them on a plot plan. Once she reviews that plan, she'll write her review including any comments she might have.

Steve Przyjemski: This site is extremely overgrown, and it's very hard to get in there. Once the 3rd party review is done, that's the time to schedule a site walk. This is an ANRAD, they will have to file a Notice of Intent for construction in the next phase. I recommend we hold off on the site walk until the NOI is filed. If you want to see the site, I can get permission from the landowner and we can go check it out, it's just really, really overgrown.

Carl Shreder: This property aside, it's so hard to get a sense of a resource from a piece of paper and determine its value, it's much different when you see something for real.

Nick Feitz: Makes a motion to continue to the ANRAD for 66 Parish Road until 8/18/16 @ 7:05pm.

Rachel Bancroft: Seconds the motion.

The motion passes unanimously.

Discussion:

201 Pond Street

Alan Aulson, owner

Alan Aulson: I called the farm bureau and asked how we should approach this? They suggested we have an informal meeting. This isn't a wetland, it's a drainage area, where there pipe picks up at the hill and goes right across the road. I've gone in there with permission to clean it up. I'm asking to address it

not as a wetlands. I would just like to just level that area. It's grading in the buffer.

Steve Przyjemski: There's no proposed wetland filling, it's just in the buffer.

Alan Aulson: You can see the bar there, that's where the pipe goes across the road.

I'd like to grade it about 1'-2'. I took the pictures, 1-2 weeks ago.

Rachel Bancroft: Okay, so if it's that wet now...

Steve Przyjemski: There's a wetland that goes into drainage, and then into a culvert and then keeps going.

You can't fill in a flood plain.

Steve Przyjemski: I believe that it is a filing, work within a buffer. It is on a Chapter 61A, which is agricultural which to me is maintenance of an existing, and enlargement or increase you still have to go through the process, it's not exempt. Because you are a farm, we would go ahead and say, "Yes", where others we would say, "No". To me that's the essence of the angle on Chapter 61A. My understanding is that you're not exempt, you can't just go ahead and do it, that's why we're here to discuss that and see where the Commission lands on that.

Alan Aulson: I agree with you. I'm not putting any dirt in there, I think that's non-exempt activity, there's lots of activities I'm exempt from as a farm. I can maintain, I can change use from crops to goats maybe I'm using the wrong word, but that's exempt. We're supposed to come to you for guidance, not being told exactly how we're supposed to use the land.

Carl Shreder: You're both correct. Since we wear both the local and state hats, we can catch things one might not otherwise catch. The Bylaw mimics the state act, it's more conservative, but I think this is something we would want to look at in an NOI-type situation.

Alan Aulson: My request was not to deem this as a wetland, but as a drainage area, to avoid spending for engineers and everything else on what we're trying to do here.

Steve Przyjemski: Originally this was probably a stream that came through, that went through a culvert, it had wetlands, and it had drainage. Calling a structure, like a culvert, man-made, doesn't exempt it. This is a wetland, it's a

resource. Homeowners, Park and Rec, Highway Department, Farmers, we can't treat anyone any differently, they all have to go through the process. I see this as treating a farmer differently. I agree, farming's a tough business and it's good to work with them.

Carl Shreder: We even make the utilities file, so we're trying to have some mechanism of involvement here.

Alan Aulson: It's 15' away from

I'd like to do it under your guidance.

I was planning on utilizing

Carl Shreder: From my perspective, it's a little beyond maintenance.

Steve Przyjemski: It's a stream channel.

Discussion on the local bylaw and its necessity.

Steve Przyjemski: You do not accept handwritten plans. Treat everyone the same. The way we usually do it is starting with an engineered, stamped plan. You guys DO NOT accept hand drawn site plans with the application because it's not accurate. It's really hard to enforce or make sure they did the right thing later. Everything else you can do yourself.

Carl Shreder: The clearer it is for the Commission, the better it goes.

Rachel Bancroft: The application is great, but this plan is very different from a site plan where you have to actual grading and footage marked. To me I would like to see exact measurements to the wetland boundary so you don't have to second guess. And you know exactly what you have to do.

An enforcement order gets very expensive as the fines add up. Everyone has to be treated equally, as far as I'm concerned.

Alan Aulson: We can't fill, but I tried to do something right and come before the Commission, and I believe the right thing is to work with the farmer, not try to enforce expenses.

Nick Feitz: Is the whole idea for your cart to be level? Is there another way besides leveling the land? Could you just put wooden blocks under the cart to level it? Or you could put different size wheels on it.

Alan Aulson: That's what we do now, it's looks like a hillbilly. I just thought we could do a simple thing, that's not harming anything at all. That's what I'm asking. I can't spend thousands of dollars to do this.

Carl Shreder: I think an NOI is the best way to approach this.

Steve Przyjemski: Thank you for coming in.

162 Pond Street, Enforcement Order:

Steve Przyjemski: The owner came in and we discussed it. We came up with a plan, that I would go out and help work out a restoration plan which I did submit to the Commission. This is Perry Way that goes over the bridge to the right. There's a little boarded bridge. They cut down a couple of trees. They were apple trees. I went out and looked at the land.

As when you went further up hill, there was a boundary, more defined edge. Receding top dress soil. No-cut stone bounds along the edge and leave it alone in perpetuity. Start mowing the lawn that's there and bring it back whether it's topdressing, or reseeding the lawn that's there. It's old wetland, low, flat, it's got beautiful soil. I see them more as tilling it up and planting versus bringing material in.

Steve Przyjemski: Applicant was okay with the plan as drawn. They were curious about what kind of plants they can plant in there, but usually you leave that up to me as long as it's native and a heavy planting. I'm almost positive they were apple trees that were cut down.

Rachel Bancroft: Seeing as though they cut down trees, I would like to see trees, not just blueberry and fern.

Carl Shreder: From my perspective, that area hasn't been maintained in *years*.

Steve Przyjemski: I think from this plan we're not giving them any new useable area, I think defining a previously used areas, and what they can and cannot do. Once the Commission approves that I will oversee the construction for the next several years. I think it will create a better buffer to the wetland that probably didn't have very good buffers in the past. This has probably been

going on for years. That bridge across the stream wasn't these guys! So the previous owners, this has been disturbed for years! There's trash back there, these guys are actually cleaning it up!

Nick Feitz: They are very motivated...I like that!

Can they replant apple trees?

Steve Przyjemski: If their native, maybe crab apple.

Carl Shreder: It's taken them about a year to gut that and completely rebuild it.

Steve Przyjemski: The situation's not ideal, they cut down apple or crab trees, but it's not like they cut down a 100' oak or pine tree. They cut down two trees, we can have them replant 4 trees and some vegetative plantings. I can re-write this for the next meeting referencing tonight's discussion.

Carl Shreder: We should re-motion the corrective action.

Steve Przyjemski: So I can correct this and bring it in the next meeting to sign.

9 Gloria Road

Barry Low, owner

Steve Przyjemski: Mr. Low had invited me out to look at a tree that had broken in a storm, about 75' from the wetland and on the pond. It's most likely one tree that bifurcates at the bottom, one of the branches higher up on the right side of the tree broke off. It's hanging right over the property line and the neighbor's fence. The request was to allow this tree to be cut down because it's dangerous. I don't disagree with that. This leading branch isn't going to fall on a house or a swing set, but it's going to fall... Just the right side, but it took a big chunk out of the existing tree. I'm okay taking that side down, but some would argue, that if we take 1/2 of the tree, it will weaken the other half which is leaning over the swing set in the direction of the house. I agree and disagree, I've seen 1/2 the tree come down and the remaining part of the tree stays for a long time, I've also seen the remaining tree fall within a year. He has a tree guy that is saying he needs to take the whole thing down.

Rachel Bancroft: The tree guys will say anything to get a wide board.

Steve Przyjemski: There's two issues going on: 1) the tree request and 2) there's some cutting activity that I want to discuss. I want to deal with this first. That broken branch is a safety concern, not a HUGE safety concern, but a safety concern, otherwise I would have authorized it immediately to come down. The break is 30'-40' up. The one on the right is a "no-brainer", the one on the left is discretionary. Tree guy would say to cut it down.

Barry Low: The tree guy isn't getting to keep any of the wood.

Carl Shreder: But they get paid on the amount they cut down.

Barry Low: They are leaders of the same tree. It has a good lean. It is across from the playground when my kids play. I'm worried that the next big storm will bring it down. (Cause we get some big storms.)

Carl Shreder: Is the other side rotten?

Steve Przyjemski: There's no visible insect or other damage.

Barry Low: I didn't think the one that cracked off was rotten either.

Carl Shreder: Oak trees are pretty tough. If it was a white pine tree, it could get knocked down and crack.

Barry Low: I wouldn't care if it didn't hang over the playground.

Steve Przyjemski: The main trunks have not moved. All that happened was a significant branch broke off.

Nick Feitz: A leaning oak tree is different from a leaning pine tree because of the root system. Could you move the playground over even just a little bit?

Barry Low: No, we were limited to where we could put the playground and even where the kids could play. We have about an acre and a quarter, but about one third of it is wetland, that we don't even go into at all.

Carl Shreder: If you give it enough time, it will eventually straighten out. The top will grow towards the sun, and compensate for the lean.

Steve Przyjemski: While I was out inspecting this property. We had some enforcement orders against this property. There was an old NOI, and some enforcement actions for some vegetation cutting. There are supposed to be yearly inspections. While I was here for the tree request, I checked out the restoration areas, which were in the "no cut", "no disturb" areas. In my opinion,

vegetation is still being cut or impacted in some way, due to the lack of vegetation. This is 100', very gentle sloped area right on the pond that historically has been very, very vegetated. Some cutting happened, we had an enforcement action. We pulled the old Order of Conditions. We had a restoration plan and "no-cut" bounds put in and an agreement on how to move forward. I'm not going to speak for the applicant, but I've heard many different things, that the neighbor is cutting it, that it wasn't me. This property goes straight into the pond. If someone else is cutting, the owner of that property is still responsible.

Carl Shreder: I looked at the pictures and something is going on there. There's a reason why there's no vegetation there. Stuff grows all over town.

Steve Przyjemski: To the right is a jungle, to the left, and we can address this at another meeting, the neighbor is cutting vegetation, it's very obvious. The neighbor is cutting vegetation on his property within ConCom's jurisdiction, within 100' of the pond. I'll be writing an enforcement action and inviting that person in to our next meeting.

In 2007, it was tall saplings and scruff. Historically this shows some cutting and burning, but why is none of this stuff re-growing? There's supposed to be a mitigated planting. The last picture shows "no-cut" stone bounds, technically there should be absolutely zero activity on that side and there was a restoration plan approved by the commission years ago. All the mitigated plantings are NOT there.

It was supposed to be some shrubbery and plants that were planted. There's a couple of non-native iris there now.

6 years later, nothing has changed. If you look at different stages of being cut 6 years ago. To me it's a "no-Brainer", but that's why I bring it before the Commission for discussion.

Barry Low: You are accusing me of cutting back there, which is simply untrue, none of my family. We haven't disturbed or cut anything. The neighbor has been down there, we have video of him. He cuts everything he sees, 11 Gloria Road. He has a riding mower and a weed-wacker with a saw blade. I haven't been here for a year, I was living in Portland, Oregon for a year, I just came back.

Steve Przyjemski: There was a restoration planting and there's nothing there.

Barry Low: That's not true. We submitted a list of plants approved by this Commission, and that's what we planted there. So there! I can show them to you. We went out to western mass to buy the irises.

Carl Shreder: If I look at these pictures, I ask myself after six years, "Why does nothing grow out there?"

Steve Przyjemski: I'm just saying activity was being done, the Homeowner says, "It wasn't me," but someone is.

Barry Low: The neighbor, has cut the property since 2002.

Steve Przyjemski: You are responsible for what happens on your property. The enforcement order goes to the property, not the person. In the picture in 2010, the person before you cut.

Barry Low: There's nothing that divides the neighbor property from mine.

Steve Przyjemski: I wrote an enforcement order.

Carl Shreder: I think you're going to have to tell your neighbor not to cut on your property. I want to see a professional to

Barry Low: Like I said, I wasn't even here, I was living in Portland, Oregon.

Rachel Bancroft: How long has it been? Six years?

Barry Low: He's been cutting since we bought the property in 2002.

Carl Shreder: Why are you letting him do that?

Nick Feitz: The bottom line is that it is your property, and you need to do something.

Rachel Bancroft: If he hurts himself on your property, you're liable.

Steve Przyjemski: Keep in mind there's a history to this property. In 2010 there was cutting and burn piles everywhere. The person before you admitted it. It's very obvious that someone is still cutting, it almost doesn't matter who did it. The Commission puts enforcement orders toward properties for violations done.

Rachel Bancroft: Are there stone bounds?

Steve Przyjemski: There are, the last picture shows them.

Carl Shreder: Why would you take video, and not go out and ask him to stop. You can even send him a letter saying something to the effect of, "Please don't cut on my land, you're getting me in trouble.

Barry Low: I'll send him a letter and copy you guys on it. I don't want to be blamed for any of this

Nick Feitz: Bottom line is it is your property and your responsibility. How you deal with it is up to you. We have to face the fact that something is going on there, we have to stop it.

Barry Low: On the other side of my property I've got a neighbor who is incredibly nasty and hates us, and has been before this Commission turning me in and and complaining about me left and right unwarranted. They're friends with the ones on the other side.

Steve Przyjemski: At this point, I'll be honest, we've had enforcement action my recommendation is to update the enforcement order with very, very specific conditions because this has been going on since 2006 - 2007.

Carl Shreder: I think there needs to be distinct milestones in this as far as growth. I want to see it filled in and checked on a periodic basis.

Steve Przyjemski: So typically there's a professional restoration plan submitted, approved and for 3 years we get a yearly review done by a wetland scientist giving us written description of how it's going.

Barry Low: To be honest with you, I don't want to have to spend any more on this if possible. I'm not doing anything back there whatsoever. I haven't been since we had this thing. Whatever grows back there grows, whatever my neighbor does, he does, ya know. I don't want to be blamed for any of this.

Steve Przyjemski: 90% of these pictures are above that strip down by the pond where the neighbor has been mowing.

Rachel Bancroft: I'm feeling like this is a site walk, I would like to.

Drew Currie: Do you have pictures from 3 years ago when all this went on?

Steve Przyjemski: Pictures: 2007, 2010, and 2016. In 2007 we told them to stop, don't do anything more, it got worse, there was burning, there was cutting and now nothing is growing. There's even acknowledgment that stuff is being mowed.

Carl Shreder: It's not plausible that nothing would grow after 6 years.

Steve Przyjemski: in 2007, it's over knee high with saplings and vegetation, and now it is 2-3" grasses.

Barry Low: You can't go back to 2005, it's been 11 years. Since then they've had some enforcement orders on me. I've admitted doing some cutting way back, and some burning and I was fined for it. We've moved on. Since then, I haven't done anything. Since then, it looks exactly the same.

Carl Shreder: It's not logical that nothing would grow there.

Barry Low: I didn't do a thing back there. Nothing's happening.

Steve Przyjemski: But someone is, by the acknowledgment that someone is mowing back there, the neighbor's doing it. It is being done on this property. Who's doing it is almost irrelevant, wetland violations are occurring.

Rachel Bancroft: One side is like a jungle, Poison ivy, bittersweet doesn't stay to one side of a non-existent manmade boundary.

Barry Low: We don't have poison ivy back there, we don't have bittersweet back there.

Rachel Bancroft: I'm talking about the other side, you have nothing back there, the other property. All of that would come in from 2005 whether you wanted it or not. It would have been all over the place.

Carl Shreder: Bottom line, we're trying to restore this resource area and it's a mystery to us as to why nothing is growing there. After 6 years I would expect something as tall as me out there.

Barry Low: I'm not a botanist or a scientist. Go look! There's stuff growing. It's not just plain dirt.

Steve Przyjemski: I am a wetland scientist that is my background. This is very, very atypical. Something is being done, I don't know by who, I don't know what is being done, but this is NOT in my opinion compliant with previous enforcement actions and orders.

Carl Shreder: You're not using any chemicals?

Barry Low: I haven't done anything! It's not that I'm not-compliant, I haven't done anything!

Steve Przyjemski: Our restoration plans always have trees, saplings, soft vegetation. There's no saplings and no trees. There is a patch of short iris on the bank. I'm no expert on iris, but the native iris is usually 4' tall and grows in wetlands. The iris that is there is about 6", I'm of the opinion it's a hybridized variety.

It's at the toe of the slope.

Rachel Bancroft: So aside from the iris, is there anything else there?

Steve: No.

Carl Shreder: Normally it's an 80% survival rate after 3 years. If you don't have that you replant it.

Barry Low: You guys picked a couple of plants and I planted them.

Steve Przyjemski: Everything is low, there's no saplings, no trees, no soft vegetation.

Rae Ann Baldwin: That's very odd.

Carl Shreder: That IS odd.

Steve Przyjemski: I wrote an enforcement order, because I do believe it's enforceable based on the regulations. I don't think it matters who is doing what, it's just that it's being done.

The back edge is right up against the pond, there's sweet pepper bush and blueberries 5'-6'H, almost hedge-pruned, and it's mowed right in front of it. All of the maps the town has including the one you submitted for a Notice of Intent shows that as your property.

Barry Low: That's his property, I can show you the stakes in the ground that clearly show the line.

Steve Przyjemski: I have a stamped, engineered plan that clearly shows differently. These are what we go by, not field stakes that can be pounded into the ground. This is all being done on the subject property.

Rae Ann Baldwin: We're going to have to go back and pull old files.

Barry Low: This isn't showing where that boundary is.

Carl Shreder: Since we have new commissioners, would you like to have a site walk?

Rachel Bancroft: Yes.

Rae Ann Baldwin: Yes.

Nick Feitz: I think it would be a good idea to take a look at it.

Barry Low: Sacks, 11 Gloria, he's the one doing the cutting. His property goes right down to the water, mine doesn't.

Steve Przyjemski: It's a very different kind of cutting on one side vs. the other. That's not what the engineered plan shows. You are misinterpreting the plan. You can't own into the pond. From here on is not his property, his property is over here. (On neighbor's side of property line.)

Barry Low: We don't go down to the waterline.

Steve Przyjemski: This is a wetland buffer, this 15' is wetland buffer, you abut the pond.

Barry Low: He's doing most of his cutting in here.

Steve Przyjemski: Which on this plan is a wetland.

Barry Low: If you have issues with my neighbors, I don't want to be involved.

Carl Shreder: I have issues with things not growing out there.

Rachel Bancroft: You are the caretaker, you own it!

Carl Shreder: There's a tree cutting and an open order of conditions.

Steve Przyjemski: The old Order of Conditions is different issue, no work has ever been done. We're here for an enforcement and a tree cutting. If we do a site walk soon we can look at the tree and look at the cutting and tie it all together.

Site walk July 26th at 6:30pm. Given this is just a discussion we'll see you July 26th @ 6:30pm.

Drew and Rae will have to go a different time.

Camp Denison Discussion:

Steve Przyjemski: The BoyScouts are requesting to build 2 canoe structures into the hillside. I think it's an improvement. They want to keep one telephone pole down there. I'd like to find space for a 3rd rack. They are going to make it so you can load it from the front and roll it in, so you don't have to walk down the sides to load it. This is keeping a quaint Camp Denison. The telephone pole has creosote and is very toxic. I would recommend storage for 12. My biggest concern is that when they dig the post holes, they deposit the soil in the correct place.

Carl Shreder: I think in the management, it specifies a number.

Rachel Bancroft: Makes a motion to reappoint Chris Roop, Ella Richardson and Jim Lacey to The Camp Denison Committee as read by Steve.

Rae Ann Baldwin: Seconds the motion.

Motions carries unanimously.

CoC for 211 East Main Street

CoC for 61 Old Jacobs Rd.

Rachel Bancroft: Makes a motion to pay the bills as read by Steve.

Rae Ann Baldwin: Seconds the motion.

Motion passes unanimously.

Community Gardens Update:

Steve Przyjemski: We're going to hold off until fall, come up with a plan, and do construction early winter. For parking and there are existing regulations that aren't being met. We mentioned that we are going to be revisiting it and we got a whole bunch of e-mails saying things like, "What are you doing?" "You can't do that, I have a perennial crop!" Legitimate concerns, some of them we can work with. We're trying to look at this more holistically. I thought we could go in do a little bit here and a little bit there, but Drew made a point, that this is big. There are a lot of moving parts, a lot of people with a lot of ideas. You've got to look at it holistically. I think what I want to do is wait until fall, take a step back and look at the big picture and it's direction. Where do we want this place to go?

Rachel Bancroft: The main thing is Park and Rec wants more parking spots. They are worried about people getting hit by cars.

47 West Street, Tidd's Junkyard - has submitted an application, but it's not complete. Hopefully it will be complete by the August 18, 2016.

Rae Ann Baldwin: Makes a motion to close the meeting.

Rachel Bancroft: Seconds the motion.

Meeting adjourned at 8:56 pm.

List of Documents and Other Exhibits used at Meeting:

Documents and Other Exhibits used at meeting will be available for review at: ___the Conservation Office___ Georgetown_____

(Office)

Meeting was adjourned at: _____ 8:56pm_____

Next meeting:

Date: _____ August 18, 2016 _____

Time: _____ 7:00pm _____

Place: _____ Third Floor Meeting Room _____

Respectfully submitted,

Chairman: _____

(Signature)

Minutes approved by Committee on: __ January 19, 2017 __

(Date)